

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address Commission NEED of PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS www.usplogen.tu/2024/ www.usplogen.tu/2024/

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 842,769	04 27 2001	Toshiya Hagihara	1422-0472P	2832
2292	7590 10 24 2002			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			UMEZ ERONINI, LYNETTE T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	i,
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/842,769	HAGIHARA ET AL.				
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lynette T. Umez-Eronini	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☑ Claim(s) <u>10-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) ⚠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language bree	delonal aurilication has his i					
raic (IRO) (Uh)						
Fig. 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4، اِلَيْنَا Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 and 3		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
The Second St. Office Act	ion Summary	en de ale de				

Application/Control Number: 09/842,769

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a polishing composition, classified in class 252, subclass 79.1.
 - II. Claims 10-13, drawn to a method of polishing, classified in class 438, subclass 689.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different composition, such as one that does not required the use of the claimed specific ace groups.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination

Application/Control Number: 09/842,769 Page 3

Art Unit: 1765

5. Examiner Michael A. Marcheschi made a call to the applicant's representative.

During a telephone conversation with John W. Bailey on 10/3/02 a provisional election

was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 10-13.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 1-9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b),

as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Objections

7. Claims 12 and 13 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper

dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s)

in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 12 and 13

are dependent upon non-elected claims 3-9.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,769

Art Unit: 1765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyazaki et al. (US 4,915,710).

Miyazaki teaches a process comprising:

Applying gluconic and or lactic acid, which is an example of applicant's roll-off reducing agent comprising one or more compounds selected from the group consisting of carboxylic acids having 2 to 20 carbon atoms having either OH group or groups, to a substrate to be polished (column 3, lines 20-33 and column 5, lines 6-36). Since Miyazaki applies the same composition to a substrate that is to be polished as that in the present invention, then using Miyazaki's composition in polishing a substrate would inherently result in a process of reducing roll-off of a polished substrate, as **in claim 10** and a process for producing a polished substrate, and **in claim 11**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynette T. Umez-Eronini whose telephone number is 703-306-9074. The examiner is normally unavailable on the First Friday.

Art Unit: 1765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on 703-308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-972-9310 for regular communications and 703-972-9311 for After Final communications.

Itue

October 19, 2002

Lynette T. Umg Econini

•

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a polishing composition, classified in class 51, subclass 307.
- Claims 10-13, drawn to a method of polishing, classified in class 438, subclass

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different composition, such as, one that does not require the use of the claimed specific acid groups.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with John W. Bailey on 10/3/02 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group II. claims 10-13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.



Art Unit: 1755

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).